

**CENTRO DE POSGRADOS**

**Tema:**

**“TECHNOLOGICAL RESOURCES AS A STRATEGY IN STUDENT LEARNING  
AT THE POLYTECHNIC TRAINING CENTER”**

**Artículo profesional de alto nivel previo a la obtención del título de  
Magister en Innovación Educativa**

**Autora:**

**Álvaro Santiago Monar Ibarra**

**Director:**

**María Cristina Páez, Mg**

**Ambato – Ecuador**

**Octubre 2024**

**RV: Decision on ISEC'24 submission #1570993920**

Maria Cristina Paez Quinde &lt;maria.paez@iste.edu.ec&gt;

Dom 17/03/2024 22:59

Para:ALVARO SANTIAGO MONAR IBARRA &lt;asmonar@pucesa.edu.ec&gt;

No suele recibir correos electrónicos de maria.paez@iste.edu.ec. [Por qué esto es importante](#)

---

**De:** EDAS Conference Manager <help@edas.info> en nombre de Ieee Isec (ieeee.isec@gmail.com) <ieeee.isec=gmail.com@edas.info>**Enviado:** miércoles, 21 de febrero de 2024 22:56**Para:** Maria Cristina Paez Quinde <maria.paez@iste.edu.ec>**Asunto:** Decision on ISEC'24 submission #1570993920

Dear Prof. Cristina Paez Quinde:

Congratulations - your paper/poster #1570993920 ('Technological Resources as a Strategy in Student Learning at the Polytechnic Training Center') has been accepted for presentation at the 2024 IEEE Integrated STEM Education Conference (ISEC). The deadline for submitting a final version of the paper is 11:59 pm EST on March 2, 2024.

**Author Registration**

At least one of the authors must register (*under the appropriate category*) for the accepted full paper/work-in-progress/K-12/non K-12 poster to be presented and archived in IEEE Xplore. Registration link is open: <https://ewh.ieee.org/conf/stem/register.html> and you must register via EDAS: <https://edas.info/r31585>

The author of the accepted full paper/work-in-progress/K-12/non K-12 poster must register for the conference and present their papers. Authors who do not present their papers, or arrange for a co-author or knowledgeable colleague to present their paper in the absence of the primary author, will not have their paper published in IEEE Xplore, per IEEE policy.

The registered author for K-12 posters must provide adult contact information, prepare and print the posters according to the <https://ewh.ieee.org/conf/stem/author.html>, bring the poster to the conference, and keep email communication for further updates.

**Copyright Submission**

This is the mandatory requirement to include your full paper/work-in-progress (or K-12/non K-12 poster abstract) in IEEE Xplore. Please transfer/submit your copyright via EDAS: [1570993920](https://edas.info/r31585)

**Final Manuscript Upload for Full Paper and Work-in-progress Category**

Prepare your final manuscript considering reviewers' comments (if any) and upload your final manuscript following the IEEE Template; please refer to:

<https://ewh.ieee.org/conf/stem/author.html>

The reviews are below or can be found at [1570993920](https://edas.info/r31585). Thank you for your contribution to ISEC'24.

**Comprehensive review 1**

**PROBLEM STATEMENT AND GOALS: Are the goals of the work/project well-developed and explicitly stated?**

The problem statement and goals are STRONGLY DEVELOPED and explicitly stated. (3)

**SCHOLARSHIP: Is the paper grounded in relevant literature?**

The paper reviews and builds on appropriate prior work to a MODERATE extent. (2)

**METHODS: Rate quality of methods and/or research approaches. Methods may be qualitative, quantitative, mixed, or more specific.**

Methods/Research approaches are ADVANCED and APPROPRIATE for the purpose of the paper, and is consistent with the perspective. (2)

**RESULTS (Full Paper): Are the analyses and results thorough and appropriate?**

Analysis and results are CLEAR and LOGICAL, supporting the goals of the paper. (2)

**WIP Results: Rate the Work-in-Progress analysis and results.**

Preliminary analysis and results are VERY CLEAR and LOGICAL, strongly supporting the goals of the work. (3)

**CONCLUSIONS (Full Paper): Rate the quality of conclusions and future work (if any) for this full paper.**

The conclusions are WELL formulated and are supported by results. (2)

**WIP Conclusions: Rate the Work-in-progress conclusions and the scope for future work**

The conclusions are WELL formulated and future/ongoing work(s) are stated. (2)

**WRITING: Rate the writing style and mechanics of the paper/abstract/proposal.**

The paper/abstract/proposal is mostly understandable and clear, with occasional inconsistencies and/or minor grammar/spelling errors that could be improved (2)

**Poster Introduction and Background: Rate the Introduction and Background sections of the abstract. Are the problem and goal statements clear? Is context/background provided?**

Problem/issue, goal(s), and background are clear (3)

**Poster Methods/Process: Rate the described methods and process. as applicable.**

Fairly comprehensive (2)

**Poster Results and Future Work: Rate the Results and Future Work sections of the abstract.**

Results and Future Work are somewhat clear and are partially supported by the other abstract sections (2)

**FEEDBACK: PLEASE provide constructive feedback to the author(s) on any or all of the items (goals, scholarship/literature review, methods, results, conclusions, writing style etc.).**

It is suggested to update the paper template as unnecessary lines like "\*Note: Sub-titles are not captured in Xplore and should not be used" and "line 1", "line 2" in the authors' details section are present at the top of the paper.

Thoroughly check the manuscript for missing periods, commas, etc. For instance, there is a missing period after Reference 5 on page 2.

The table caption is labelled as "TABLE IV," but when referred to in the manuscript, it is written as "Table 4." Authors are requested to carefully review the manuscript.

Similar to the above comment, correct the figures' format as well.

Authors are suggested to carefully verify the values in Table IV and Table V. The representations seem incorrect.

The format of Table 3 should be uniform with other tables.

**Workshop topic and focus: Please rate the appropriateness of the proposed workshop's topic and focus with respect to conference goals and focus.**

Topic and focus are an excellent fit with the conference focus and goals (3)

**Workshop activities: How well do the proposed activities promote/realize the stated topic and focus?**

Activities are moderately appropriate (2)

**Workshop Recommendation: What is your recommendation regarding the proposed workshop?**

Yes (1)

Regards, IEEE ISEC '24 Technical Program Committee

<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10664815>